

# A VEHICLE MANUFACTURER'S PERSPECTIVE

eCall workshop 15<sup>th</sup> October 2012



Go Further

# CONTENTS

---

- Background.
- Industry Position.
- Status.
- Ford Emergency Assistance.



The Automotive Industry was one of the first signatories of the MoU on eCall and has since actively participated in developing potential solutions for pan-European eCall.

# INDUSTRY POSITION

---

## **Infrastructure.**

Parallel contributions from all stakeholders.

## **Technology.**

Technology neutral.

Existing systems.

Sustainable.

# eCall

## **Legislation.**

New types only.

Lead time.

Boundaries.

## **Value added services.**

Not directly related to eCall.



Go Further

# INDUSTRY POSITION

---

## ▪ Infrastructure.

- Industry has consistently outlined importance of **parallel contributions** from all stakeholders, and it is imperative that the necessary infrastructure is in place before mandatory fitment is required in vehicles.
- eCall can only realise its benefits when the corresponding infrastructure is in place. This includes availability of suitable **mobile network services** that match the in-vehicle system, for the vehicle lifetime (up to 15 years).
- A public eCall service has to be pan-European and **available to all customers**. Any staggered approach where Member States introduce the infrastructure at different dates with years in between is a non-feasible scenario for the automotive industry.

# INDUSTRY POSITION

---

## ▪ Technology.

- A range of eCall solutions should be allowed using a minimum set of performance/technical requirements based on the CEN standards. This should be enabled by **technology neutral** legislative requirements.
- The in-vehicle system can for example either be an **embedded unit** with an integrated network access device (e.g. a GSM module) or a **phone-based solution** consisting of an interface between the in-vehicle system and a mobile phone.
- It should be permitted to run **private eCall services** in parallel to the public service, not only in addition to a public eCall but as an alternative, provided all performance standards are met and one or both, the pan-EU or private eCall service, is available in all Member States for the life of the vehicle.
- Any acceptable solution must be compliant with **future technological developments** and backward compatible. There appears to be concern that this will not be the case with the currently proposed solutions.
- Some OEMs have proactively invested in **existing solutions**, these should be recognised

# INDUSTRY POSITION

---

## ▪ Legislation.

- eCall concept is valid for passenger cars and light commercial vehicles.
- Fitment should apply only for “**new vehicle types**”
- The industry requires **three-year lead-time** for development / testing after all necessary specifications have been defined / published and the final type approval requirements are adopted.
- It is essential that any mandatory requirements clearly define the **test methods and boundary conditions** of the in-vehicle system. For example it is not appropriate for the communication device, which depends on the Mobile Network to function, to be included in the vehicle type approval.
- **Parallel legal commitments** should apply for all affected stakeholders to ensure a robust roll-out across Europe.



# INDUSTRY POSITION

---

- **Value added services.**

- It is the firm opinion of the industry that so-called value-added commercial services cannot be used to justify the deployment of eCall but need to be **dealt with separately**.



Go Further



# STATUS

---

- Technology. ✓
  - The cost-benefit assessment conducted for the Commission significantly underplays the level of deployment expected in the coming years. An increasing number of vehicle manufacturers are already deploying private eCall type systems.
  - “TPS embedded”, “TPS mobile based” and “**112 mobile based**” available
- Infrastructure. ✗
  - At present, no clear indication when infrastructure will be available.
  - HeERO field operational tests still on-going and there are still technical concerns over the chosen method for data transfer (in-band modem).
- Legislation. ✗
  - Likely scenario is that proposal for type approval legislation will be high level, relying on so-called Implementing Measures to define details.
  - This requires time to complete co-decision and delegated acts processes

# FORD EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE

---



**Calls 112 Directly**

**Continue Call Outside Vehicle**

**Supports more than  
30 Countries**

**Local Language Support**

**No Subscription  
or Monthly Fee**

**2.5 Million Vehicle s by 2015**

[www.emergencyassistance.ford.com](http://www.emergencyassistance.ford.com)

**THANK YOU!**



**Go Further**